

On a new scale of  
borderline regularity spaces for Euler equations

Eitan TADMOR

Center for Scientific Computation  
and Mathematical Modeling (CSCAMM)  
Department of Mathematics & IPST  
University of Maryland College Park

# Euler's Equations

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \nabla_x \cdot (u \otimes u) = -\nabla_x p, & u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ \text{initial and boundary data} \end{cases}$$

## Weak solutions

**P1.** Finite Energy:  $L^2_{\text{loc}}$ -energy –  $u(x, t) \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ .

**P2.** Balance Law:  $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$ :

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_t \cdot u + D\varphi (u \otimes u) \, dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x, 0) \cdot u(x, 0) \, dx = 0.$$

**P3.** Incompressibility:  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

• Weak regularity in time (Lopes & Schochet)  $u \in \operatorname{Lip}((0, T); H^{-L}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d))$ .

⊙ Assume the initial data in  $H^{-L}$ ,  $L > 1$  sense

• Existence. Passing to a limit with a sequence of **approximate solutions**.

# Approximate Solutions

**P1.**  $L^2_{\text{loc}}$ -Energy bound:  $\{u^\varepsilon\} \hookrightarrow L^\infty([0, T]; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ .

**P2.** Weak Consistency:  $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\text{div } \varphi = 0$ :

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_t \cdot u^\varepsilon + D\varphi (u^\varepsilon \otimes u^\varepsilon) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x, 0) \cdot u^\varepsilon(x, 0) dx \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

**P3.** (Approximate) Incompressibility:  $\text{div } u^\varepsilon = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  ( $\rightarrow 0$  in  $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}$ ).

⊙ In practice,  $H^{-s}$  consistency:  $\varphi \in H_c^s([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  ...

⊙ Energy-bound implies  $\{u^\varepsilon\} \hookrightarrow \text{Lip}((0, T); H_{\text{loc}}^{-L}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ ,  $L(s, n) > 1$ .

EXAMPLES • *Mollification of initial data:*  $u_0^\varepsilon = K_\varepsilon * \omega_0$ ,  $K_\varepsilon := \eta_\varepsilon * K$ .

• *Navier-Stokes approximate solutions.*

• *Vortex blob approximations*

• *Discrete methods:* High-resolution difference, Spectral and FEM methods

# Existence of Weak Solutions

- ⊙ Energy bound  $\implies u^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u$  in  $L^\infty([0, T], L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$
- ⊙ Weak regularity in time:  $\{u^\varepsilon\} \hookrightarrow \text{Lip}((0, T), H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$
- Main issue: passing to limit in quadratic terms:  $u \otimes u \dots$
- ⊙ Either  $u^\varepsilon \longrightarrow u$  in  $L^\infty([0, T], L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \implies u$  is a weak solution;
- ⊙ Or no strong convergence:  $\int_E |u|^2 dxdt < \liminf \int_E |u^\varepsilon|^2 dxdt$   
 $\implies$  Energy concentrates on sets with non-zero reduced defect measure

$$\mu(E) := \limsup_\varepsilon \int_{E \subset [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u^\varepsilon - u|^2 dxdt > 0$$

- (DiPerna-Majda). The phenomena of concentration-cancellation.

$$u_i^\varepsilon u_j^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_i u_j, \quad i \neq j.$$

# $H^{-1}$ Stability

- Characterize lack of concentrations (and hence existence)

- Typically, formulated in terms of vorticity  $\omega_{ij}^\varepsilon = \frac{\partial u_i^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_j^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \in \mathbb{A}^d$

Definition [ $H^{-1}$ -stability]: *The sequence  $\{u^\varepsilon\}$  is  $H^{-1}$ -stable if  $\{\omega^\varepsilon\}$  is a precompact in  $C((0, T); H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{A}^d))$ .*

⊙ No growth conditions at infinity ⊙  $u^\varepsilon \cdot \hat{n} = 0$  for bounded domains

Statement of main result (M. Lopes, H. Lopes-Nussenzveig, T.).

*If  $\{u^\varepsilon\}$  is  $H^{-1}$ -stable, then a subsequence converges strongly to a weak solution  $u$  in  $L^\infty([0, T]; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ .*

- $H^{-1}$ -stability as a criterion which excludes concentrations.

Proof  $\operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon \hookrightarrow C([0, T], H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\operatorname{curl} u^\varepsilon \hookrightarrow C([0, T], H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$

div-curl lemma  $\implies u^{\varepsilon_k} \cdot u^{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \bar{u} \cdot \bar{u}$ , No concentration:  $u^{\varepsilon_k} \longrightarrow \bar{u}$ ,  $L^2([0, T], L_x^2)$

- Passing information from  $\omega^\varepsilon$  to  $u^\varepsilon$

$$\operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon = 0 \quad (\overset{\text{comp}}{\hookrightarrow} H^{-1}) \quad \operatorname{curl} u^\varepsilon = \omega^\varepsilon \quad \overset{\text{comp}}{\hookrightarrow} \text{“nice space”}$$

1. Biot-Savart Kernel (the 2D case):  $u^\varepsilon = K * \omega^\varepsilon$ ,  $K(x) \sim \frac{x^\perp}{|x|^2}$

⊙ CZ + Sobolev imbedding  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

\* Delicate as  $p \downarrow 1$ .

2. Stream-function formulation:  $\Delta \psi^\varepsilon := \omega^\varepsilon$ ,  $u^\varepsilon = \nabla^\perp \psi^\varepsilon$

⊙ Elliptic Regularity (delicate as  $p \downarrow 1$ ).

\* For  $W^{2,p}$  regularity of  $\psi^\varepsilon$  – requires growth control at infinity

3. Our approach – generalized Div-Curl Lemma (Tartar-Murat)

\* Sharp local condition – simplifies & generalize previous results

- Greatly simplify previous results

- Generalization – unbounded domains,  $d > 2$  dimensions

- Crystallize new regularity spaces...

## A Retrospect of $L^p$ Scales of Regularity Spaces

- **Lebesgue**  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  :  $\left| \int \omega \varphi dx \right| \leq \text{Const.} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p'}}, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^{p'}$
- **Lorentz** -  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  :  $\varphi \mapsto \chi_E, \quad \forall E's \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\int_E |\omega| dx \leq \text{Const.} |E|^{1/p'}, \quad \text{arbitrary sets } E's,$$

- **Morrey** -  $M^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  :  $\varphi \mapsto \chi_B, \quad \forall \text{arbitrary balls } B \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\int_{B_R} |\omega| dx \leq \text{Const.} |R|^{d/p'},$$

- **Logarithmic refinements:**  $L^p(\log L)^\alpha, L^{p,\infty}(\log L)^\alpha, M^{p,\alpha}, \dots$

$$L^p(\log L)^\alpha := \{ \omega \mid \int |\omega|^p (\log^+ |\omega|)^\alpha dx \leq \text{Const.} \}$$

$$M^{p,\alpha} := \{ \omega \mid R^{-d/p'} |\log R|^\alpha \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\omega| dx \leq \text{Const.}, \quad R \downarrow 0 \}$$

## The 2D problem – scalar vorticity transported

- Transport equation  $\omega_t + u \cdot \nabla_x \omega = 0$ ,  $\omega = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2}$
- $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d=2})$ -compactness: Critical  $p_{crit} = \frac{2d}{d+2} = 1$

⊙ Lebesgue (Yudovich, DiPerna-Majda)– **borderline  $\mathcal{BM}_c$  (vortex sheets)**

$$\omega_0 \in L_c^p(\mathbb{R}^2), p > 1 \implies \omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L_{loc}^p \hookrightarrow H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

⊙ Orlicz (Morgulis, Chae)– **propagation of compactness** in borderline  $L(\log L)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\omega_0 \in L(\log L)_c^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2), \alpha \geq 1/2 \implies \omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L(\log L)_{loc}^\alpha \hookrightarrow H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

⊙ Lorentz (P. L. Lions)– **propagation of compactness** in borderline  $L^{(12)}$

$$\omega_0 \in L^{(1q)}(\mathbb{R}^2), q \leq 2 \implies \omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L_{loc}^{(1q)} \hookrightarrow H_{loc}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

- $L^{(12)}$  – **largest rearrangement invariant borderline case in  $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$**

⊙ ... beyond rearrangement invariant spaces ...



## Beyond Rearrangement Invariant Spaces

- **Morrey spaces:**  $\widetilde{M}^{(p;\alpha)} := \{\omega \mid \sup_x \int_{B_R(x)} |\omega| \leq \text{Const.} R^{\frac{d}{p}} |\log R|^{-\alpha}\}$

Assertion (R. DeVore & T. Tao).  $\widetilde{M}^{p;\alpha}(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , is compactly imbedded in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  if either: (i)  $p > \frac{d}{2}$  or (ii)  $p = \frac{d}{2}$  and  $\alpha > 1$ .

- Two-dimensional Morrey space (DiPerna-Majda)

- ⊙  $\widetilde{M}^{(1,\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{B_R} |\omega^\varepsilon| \leq C |\log R|^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha > 1 \implies$  no concentration

$$\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty([0, T], \widetilde{M}^{(1,\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \alpha > 1$$

- ⊙ Positive vorticity (Delort, Majda):  $\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{BM}_c^+ \implies \widetilde{M}_c^{(1;\frac{1}{2})}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

- ⊙ **Q.** Is  $\widetilde{M}^{(1,\frac{1}{2})}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  borderline regularity space for concentration-cancellation?

- ⊙ **Q.** On the **borderline gap**  $\widetilde{M}^{(1,\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$ .

\* Uniqueness:  $L^\infty$  Borderline – Besov  $B_{2/s,1}^s$  (Vishik)

## No Concentration – the multiD ( $d > 2$ ) case

- No concentration for  $\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty([0, T], X)$

$$\text{Lebesgue : } X = L_c^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad p > \frac{2d}{d+2} \longmapsto L^p \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\text{(since } H^1 \hookrightarrow L_c^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad p < p^* = \frac{2d}{d-2}\text{)}$$

$$\text{Morrey : } X = M^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad p > \frac{d}{2} \longmapsto M^p \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

**Q1.** On the **borderline gap**  $\frac{6}{5} < p < \frac{3}{2}$  for the  $d = 3$ -D case?

- The 3D Navier-Stokes -  $M^{3/2}$  existence (Giga-Miyakawa)

$$\frac{1}{R} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\omega| dx \leq \text{Const.}$$

⊙ Comparison of  $L^{6/5}$  and  $M^{3/2}$  - measures of singular support (CKN)

⊙ Identify borderline regularity:  $p = \frac{2d}{d+2} = \frac{6}{5}$

\* Uniqueness & Energy loss – Brenier, Shnirelman,...

## Borderline regularity – the multiD ( $d \geq 2$ ) case

Theorem Assume **borderline regularity**:  $\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L_c^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then there is no concentration with **'super-critical'** energy bound

$$u^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty([0, T], L^{p>2}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

Proof (by Murat Lemma). By interpolation of  $X_r := W^{-1,r}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L_c^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} X_q, \quad q < 2 \\ \{\omega^\varepsilon\} \quad \text{in} \quad X_p, \quad p > 2 \end{array} \right. \implies \omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} X_2 = H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

⊙ Example ( $d = 2$ ). The critical regularity  $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{BM}_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ :

$u^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty([0, T], L^{p>2}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \implies$  no concentration (DiPerna-Majda)

⊙ Example ( $d = 3$ ). The critical regularity  $\omega_0 \in L_c^{6/5}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ :

$u^\varepsilon(\cdot, t) \in L^\infty([0, T], L^{p>2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \implies$  no concentration.

**Q2.** What can we say about  $L^{6/5}$  as a regularity space for  $\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)$ ?

## High Resolution Central Scheme (Levy-T.)

- Solution is realized by cell-averages,  $\omega(x, y, t^n) = \sum_{j,k} \bar{\omega}_{j,k}^n \mathbf{1}_{C_{j,k}}$
- Recovery of the velocity field  $(u, v)$  from the discrete vorticity:

Define the discrete vorticity  $\bar{\omega}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\omega}_{j+1,k+1} + \bar{\omega}_{j,k+1} + \bar{\omega}_{j,k} + \bar{\omega}_{j+1,k})$  and use a five-point stream-function  $\psi$ , such that  $\Delta\psi = -\bar{\omega}$

$$u_{j,k} := \mu_x \nabla_y \psi_{j,k}, \quad v_{j,k} := -\mu_y \nabla_x \psi_{j,k}, \quad (\nabla_x^2 + \nabla_y^2)\psi = -\bar{\omega}$$

- ⊙  $\implies$  Discrete incompressibility:  $\mu_y \nabla_x u_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_x \nabla_y v_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ .
- Time Evolution: predict the  $t^n + \frac{\Delta t}{2}$ -midvalues ( $\lambda_x := \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}$  and  $\lambda_y := \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta y}$ )

$$\omega_{j,k}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = \bar{\omega}_{j,k}^n - \frac{\lambda_x}{2} (u\omega)'_{j,k} - \frac{\lambda_y}{2} (v\omega)'_{j,k},$$

- ⊙ Compute the *staggered* cell-averages at  $t^{n+1} = t^n + \Delta t$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\omega}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} &= \mu_x \mu_y \bar{\omega}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^n + \frac{1}{8} \mu_y \nabla_x \omega'_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8} \mu_x \nabla_y \omega'_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad - \Delta t \{ \mu_y \nabla_x (u\omega)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mu_x \nabla_y (v\omega)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \}. \end{aligned}$$

## High Resolution Central Scheme(cont'd)

- Numerical slopes

$f'_{j,k}$  and  $g'_{j,k}$ , denote discrete 'numerical slopes' in  $x$ - and  $y$ -directions.

- ⊙  $f' \equiv g' \equiv 0 \mapsto$  first-order Lax-Friedrichs scheme:

$$\bar{\omega}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \frac{\bar{\omega}_{j,k}^n + \bar{\omega}_{j+1,k}^n + \bar{\omega}_{j,k+1}^n + \bar{\omega}_{j+1,k+1}^n}{4} - \Delta t \{ \mu_y \nabla_x (u\omega)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^n + \mu_x \nabla_y (v\omega)_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^n \}.$$

- ⊙ key observation – discrete incompressibility implies convexity

$$\bar{\omega}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \bar{\omega}_{\alpha,\beta}^n, \quad \sum \theta_{\alpha,\beta} = 1, \quad \theta_{\alpha,\beta} \geq 0.$$

- Higher resolution:

$$f'_{j,k} \sim \Delta x \cdot f_x(x_j, y_k, t^n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^2, \quad g'_{j,k} \sim \Delta y \cdot g_y(x_j, y_k, t^n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta y)^2$$

- Discrete evolution:  $\omega(\cdot, 0) \mapsto \omega(\cdot, t^n)$  maps any Orlicz space into itself

## Candidates for regularity spaces

- ⊙ **Lebesgue**  $L^p$  :  $\left\{ \omega \mid \left| \int_x \omega \varphi dx \right| \leq \text{Const.} \|\varphi\|_{L^{p'}} \right\}$
- ⊙ **Lorentz** wk -  $L^{p,\infty}$  :  $\left\{ \omega \mid \varphi = \chi_E, \text{ arbitrary } E's \right\}$
- ⊙ **Morrey**  $M^p$  :  $\left\{ \omega \mid \varphi = \chi_B, \text{ arbitrary } B's \right\}$

$$\|\omega\|_{M^p} = \sup_B \frac{1}{|B|^{1/p'}} \int_B |\omega| dx \leq \infty$$

- **A new scale**  $V^{pq}$  :  $\left\{ \omega \mid \varphi = \chi_{\cup B_j}, \text{ arbitrary covering } B's \right\}$

$$V^{pq} := \sup_{\{B_j\} \subset \mathcal{B}} \left\{ \frac{1}{|B_j|^{1/p'}} \int_{B_j} |\omega| dx \right\}_{\ell^q} < \infty, \quad \text{arbitrary } \{B_j\}'s \subset \mathcal{B}$$

## The new scale of regularity spaces

$$\vee^{pq} : \quad \sum_j \left( \frac{1}{|B_j|^{1/p'}} \int_{B_j} |\omega| dx \right)^q \leq \text{Const.}$$

$$\vee^{pp} : \quad \sum_j \left( \frac{1}{|B_j|} \int_{B_j} |\omega| \right) \chi_{B_j}(x) \in L^p \longmapsto L^p$$

$$\vee^{p\infty} : \quad \frac{1}{|B|^{1/p'}} \int_B |\omega| dx \leq \text{Const.} \longmapsto M^p$$

- $L^{p\infty}$  measures total mass on arbitrary sets
- $M^p$  measures total mass on arbitrary balls
- $\vee^{pq}$  - are intermediate scales of spaces:  $\vee^{pq} = (L^p, M^p)_{\theta, q}$   $\theta = \frac{p}{q} \leq 1$

measuring  $\ell^q$  weighted distribution of  $L^p$  mass on arbitrary coverings

## A New Scale of Regularity Spaces

- New scale  $\vee^{pq}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ : for all coverings  $\cup_j B_j$

$$\sup_{\cup B_j = \Omega} \left( \sum_j (R_j^{-d/p'} \int_{B_j} |\omega(x)| dx)^q \right)^{1/q} \leq Const, \quad 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty.$$

- Logarithmic refinement:  $\vee^{pq,\alpha} = \vee^{pq}(\log \vee)^\alpha$

$$\|\omega\|_{\vee^{pq}(\log \vee)^\alpha(\Omega)} := \sup_{R_j < R_0} \|\{R_j^{d/p} |\log R_j|^\alpha \bar{\omega}_j\}\|_{\ell^q}, \quad q > p.$$

- ⊙ Example:  $\|\omega\|_{\vee^{pq}(\log \vee)^\alpha(\Omega)} < \infty$ : Covering  $\Omega$  by a dyadic lattice  $\mathcal{C}_{jk}$

$$\sum_j \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_{jk}} |\omega(x)| dx \right)^q \leq 2^{-kNq/p'} |1 + k_+|^{-\alpha q}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{jk}(\cdot) := 2^{-k} \mathcal{C}(\cdot + j).$$

- ⊙ weak- $L^p$  spaces: measure the total mass of over *arbitrary sets*;
- ⊙ Morrey spaces  $M^p$ : measure the total mass over *arbitrary balls*.
- ⊙  $\vee$ -spaces: measure  $\ell_q$ -weighted mass over *collection of disjoint balls*
- $\vee^{pq}$  bridges the gap:  $\vee^{pq} = (L^p, M^p)_{\theta,q}$   $\theta = \frac{p}{q} \leq 1$ ,  $\vee^{pp} = L^p \dots \vee^{p\infty} = M^p$



## Readers' digest

$$\vee^{pq} : \quad \sum_j \left( \frac{1}{|B_j|^{1/p'}} \int_{B_j} |\omega| dx \right)^q < \infty$$

- Cover  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  by dyadic covering of cubes  $C_{jk} := 2^{-k}C(\cdot + j)$

$$\vee^{pq}(\Omega) : \quad \sum_j \left( \int_{C_{jk}} |\omega| dx \right)^q \leq 2^{-kdq/p'}$$

- Comparison of borderline regularity spaces

$$p = \frac{3}{2}, \quad M^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \quad \frac{1}{R} \int_{B_R(x_0)} |\omega| dx \leq \text{Const.}$$

$$p = \frac{6}{5}, \quad \vee^{\frac{6}{5}2}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \quad \sum_j \frac{1}{R_j} \left( \int_{B_j} |\omega| dx \right)^2 \leq \text{Const.}$$

- difference in Hausdorff dim (sing support  $\omega$ )

## Compact Embeddings of $v$ 's in $H^{-1}$

Statement of compactness.  $\check{V}^{p2,\alpha} \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if

$$(i) \ p > \frac{2d}{d+2} \quad \text{or} \quad (ii) \ p = \frac{2d}{d+2}, \ \alpha > 1/2.$$

⊙ The 2D borderline case:  $\check{V}_c^{12,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2) \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{2}$

$$\check{V}^{12}(\log \check{V})^{1/2}(\Omega) = \left\{ \omega \mid \sup_{\cup B_j = \Omega} \sum_j |\log R_j| \left( \int_{B_j} |\omega| \right)^2 \leq \text{Const.} \right\}, \ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

⊙ The 3D borderline case:  $\check{V}_c^{p2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \xrightarrow{\text{comp}} H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad p > \frac{6}{5}$

$$\|\omega\|_{\check{V}_{\frac{6}{5}}^2(\Omega)}^2 = \sup_{\cup B_j = \Omega} \sum_j \frac{1}{R_j} \left( \int_{B_j} |\omega| \right)^2 \leq \text{Const.}, \ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

**Proof** (of  $v$ -compact imbedding).

Measure the  $H^{-1}$  size of  $f^\varepsilon$  in terms of its wavelet expansion

$$f^\varepsilon = \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}_{jk}^\varepsilon \psi_{jk}, \quad \psi_{jk} := 2^{kd/2} \psi(2^k x - j)$$

Using the  $v^{p^2}(\log v)^\alpha$ -bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}_{jk}^\varepsilon|^2 &\leq 2^{kd} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( \int_{\mathcal{C}_{jk}} |f^\varepsilon(x)| dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \text{Const} \cdot 2^{kd} \|f^\varepsilon\|_{v^{p^2, \alpha}}^2 \cdot 2^{-2kd/p'} |1 + k_+|^{-2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

we conclude: if  $(p - \frac{2d}{d+2})_+ + (\alpha - 1/2)_+ > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k > k_0} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}_{jk}^\varepsilon \psi_{jk} \right\|_{H^{-1}}^2 &= \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{(j,k) \in (\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{Z}^+)} |\hat{f}_{jk}^\varepsilon|^2 \|\psi_{jk}\|_{H^{-1}}^2 \\ &\leq \text{Const} \cdot \sum_{k > k_0} 2^{k(d-2d/p'-2)} |1 + k_+|^{-2\alpha} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Concentration-Cancelation in 2D Euler's equations

• 2D pseudo-energy  $H(\omega) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \log |x - y| d\omega(x) d\omega(y) \leq H_0$

⊙ V-scale classification of 2D regularity:  $X_\alpha = \tilde{V}^{12}(\log \tilde{V})_\epsilon^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$

Theorem. {i} **No concentration** if  $\omega^\epsilon \in X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 1/2$ ;

{ii} **Concentration-Cancelation** if  $\omega^\epsilon \in X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ .

⊙ Extension of Delort's result for one-signed measures:  $\mathcal{BM}^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset X_{1/2}$

**Q1.** Is  $X_\alpha = \tilde{V}^{12}(\log \tilde{V})_\epsilon^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$  an invariant regularity space for 2D Euler?

$$\omega_0 \in X_\alpha \implies \eta_\epsilon * \omega_0 \mapsto \omega^\epsilon(\cdot, t) \in X_\alpha ?$$

⊙ Propagation of compactness in borderline regularity:  $X = L(\log L)^{1/2}$ ,  $L^{(12)}$ , ...

$$\{\omega_0^\epsilon\} \subset X_{1/2} \not\hookrightarrow H^{-1}(R^2) \quad \text{but does} \quad \eta_\epsilon * \omega_0 \mapsto \omega^\epsilon(\cdot, t) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(R^2)$$

**Q2.** ... No concentration phenomena for one-signed measures?

$$\{\omega^\epsilon\} \subset X_{1/2} \not\hookrightarrow H^{-1}(R^2) : \omega_0^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \sqrt{|\log \epsilon|}} \omega \left( \frac{|x|}{\epsilon} \right), \text{ but } \dots \eta_\epsilon * \omega_0 \mapsto \omega^\epsilon(\cdot, t) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(R^2) ?$$

## Concentration-Cancelation in 3D Euler's equations

- 3D Coulomb energy  $H(\omega(x, t)) := \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\langle \omega(x, t), \omega(y, t) \rangle}{|x - y|} dx dy \equiv H_0$
- ⊙ Split between long-range and short-range  $H(\omega) =: H_{ie}(\omega) + H_{si}(\omega)$
- ⊙ Long-range interaction energy - bounded from below...

$$H_{ie}(\omega^\varepsilon(x, t)) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{j \neq k} \int \int_{C_j \times C_k} \frac{\langle \omega^\varepsilon(x, t), \omega^\varepsilon(y, t) \rangle}{|x - y|} dx dy \geq -Const_{ie}.$$

⇒ Upper-bound short-range self-induced energy:

$$H_0 + Const_{ie} \geq H_{si}(\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_j \int \int_{C_j \times C_j} \frac{\langle \omega^\varepsilon(x, t), \omega^\varepsilon(y, t) \rangle}{|x - y|} dx dy \geq \dots?$$

**Q1.** Seeking  $\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}^2$ -bound:

$$\langle \omega^\varepsilon(x), \omega^\varepsilon(y) \rangle \geq (1 - \theta^2) |\omega^\varepsilon(x)| \cdot |\omega^\varepsilon(y)|$$

$$\sum_j \int \int_{C_j \times C_j} \frac{\langle \omega^\varepsilon(x, t), \omega^\varepsilon(y, t) \rangle}{|x - y|} dx dy \geq (1 - \theta^2) \sum_j \frac{1}{2R_j} \left( \int_{C_j} |\omega^\varepsilon(x, t)| dx \right)^2$$

## No concentration in 3D Euler's equations

- A weak alignment condition (Constantin-Fefferman-Majda):

$$\left| \frac{\omega^\varepsilon(x, t)}{|\omega^\varepsilon(x, t)|} - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon(y, t)}{|\omega^\varepsilon(y, t)|} \right|_{|x-y| \leq \delta} \leq \sqrt{2}\theta. \quad \theta < 1.$$

Theorem A weak alignment condition implies

$$\left| \frac{\omega^\varepsilon(x, t)}{|\omega^\varepsilon(x, t)|} - \frac{\omega^\varepsilon(y, t)}{|\omega^\varepsilon(y, t)|} \right|_{|x-y| \leq \delta} < \sqrt{2} \quad \implies \|\omega^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{\dot{V}^{\frac{6}{5}}(\Omega)} \leq \text{Const}_T.$$

**Q2.** Propagation of compactness of borderline regularity  $X = \dot{V}^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  ?!

Theorem Assume a weak alignment condition. Then as long as  $u^\varepsilon \in L^\infty([0, T], L^{p>2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  there is no 3D concentration:  $u^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u$

THANK YOU